

The Times-Dispatch

DAILY—WEEKLY—SUNDAY.

Business Office.....916 E. Main Street
 Manchester Bureau.....1102 Hull Street
 Petersburg Bureau.....40 N. Sycamore Street
 Lynchburg Bureau.....215 Eighth Street

BY MAIL. One Six Three One
 POSTAGE PAID Year. Mo. Mo. Mo.
 Daily with Sunday.....\$6.00 \$3.00 \$1.50
 Daily without Sunday.....4.00 2.00 1.00
 Sunday edition only.....2.00 1.00 .50
 Weekly (Wednesday).....1.00 .50 .25

By Times-Dispatch Carrier Delivery Service
 in Richmond (and suburbs), Manchester and
 Petersburg..... One Week.
 Daily with Sunday.....14 cents
 Daily without Sunday.....10 cents
 Sunday only.....5 cents

Entered January 27, 1903, at Richmond, Va.,
 as second-class matter under act of Congress of
 March 3, 1879.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 28, 1905.

One of the most stupid falsehoods
 evolved in this street-story to the
 effect that The Times-Dispatch has
 taken a poll of the State, and, upon
 finding that this poll showed Judge
 Mann a victor by 12,000 votes, promptly
 suppressed it. The story was manufac-
 tured by its author out of the
 whole cloth, and is totally and unquali-
 fiedly false. We make this statement
 as a warning to those who would not
 willingly circulate a malicious untruth,
 and to put the appropriate mark upon
 those who heretofore circulate this one.

THE UNIVERSITY'S GREATNESS UNASSAILED.

Some of our esteemed contem-
 poraries in the State are expressing
 intense indignation because a series
 of articles dealing with fourteen
 "Great American Universities," appear-
 ing in the New York Independent,
 failed to include the University of
 Virginia. Their contention seems to be
 that any list of "great" American
 universities must, in the nature of the
 case, include Virginia's, and that any
 omission of Virginia from such a list
 must of necessity be due to a malicious
 and malignant desire to slight this
 State and the South. The Charlottesville
 Progress in particular is ex-
 ceedingly bitter, referring to the edi-
 tor of the Independent as an "en-
 venomed sectional scamp," a "ghoul-
 eyed partisan wretch," and various
 other styles of an undesirable citizen.

All this excitement impresses us as
 singularly unnecessary and out of
 place. To begin with, it enormously
 magnifies the importance of this par-
 ticular weekly, which is doubtless a
 useful enough paper in its field, but
 which is very far from being a na-
 tional referee on disputed questions.
 This paper's opinion as to whether the
 University of Virginia is "great" might
 be of some interest to itself and its
 more admiring readers, but could
 hardly be regarded as any conceiv-
 able public moment. To read into its
 attitude the supreme expression of
 "sectional impudence and malignity"
 is certainly going to an enormous
 amount of bother to hunt trouble.

The word "great" has several recog-
 nized meanings, or shades of mean-
 ings, and the Independent has an en-
 tire right to choose among them. A
 letter of inquiry directed to it. It
 seems, brought back an apparently
 courteous explanation to the effect
 that in selecting fourteen important
 institutions to write about it was
 necessary to take some "objective
 standard," and that it was decided to
 take that of size—"the largest univer-
 sities in the United States." The
 editor further explained that he
 meant to discuss next year "a group
 of selected colleges," and would
 certainly include the University of
 Virginia in that series; a statement
 which the Charlottesville Progress, we
 are unable to see why, regards as in-
 tolerably and stupidly offensive.

Now it is perfectly legitimate to
 write a series of articles dealing with
 the fourteen biggest universities in
 the country, and to call this series
 "Great American Universities." Some
 of us might think that it would be
 far more interesting and valuable to
 write a series on the fourteen most
 historic universities, or those with the
 finest and best traditions and spirit,
 or those which have given the most
 distinguished men to the nation. But
 we are not editing the Independent.
 That paper had to consider how it
 would measure "greatness" for the
 purposes of its series, and it made
 bigness, which is concrete and not sub-
 ject to argument, the test. "Measured
 by these standards," says our Char-
 lotteville neighbor, "The University
 of Virginia does not desire or pretend
 to enter into the competition." Ex-
 actly so. Hence, why should there be
 such indignation over the university's
 omission from a competition which
 was, confessedly, run on "these stand-
 ards?"

Let us say, if we like, that one edi-
 tor has extremely perverted, material
 and sordid ideas of what constitutes
 greatness. And when we have said
 that to our hearts' content, we have
 established nothing in the world be-
 yond that one isolated and wholly
 trivial fact. This cry of "sectional
 malice," this tendency to find a bitter
 and venomous South hater lurking
 behind every bush, is wearing a little
 thin and shrill. This hypersensitiv-
 ness to fancied insult shows a strange
 blindness to the plain signs of the
 times, and not rarely makes the South
 look absurd. Only the other day a
 weekly paper of far wider circulation
 and influence than the Independent
 gave its leading editorial space to as
 handsome a tribute to the South's so-
 called "prejudices" as any Southern
 pen could have written. At this mo-
 ment the matter of the Lee statue is
 eliciting from Northern journals many
 expressions of the utmost friendliness,
 sympathetic understanding and gen-
 eral good-will toward this section.
 The fact is that the last traces

of "sectional malice" are now dis-
 appearing with remarkable rapidity,
 and it seems to us worse than a waste
 of time to hunt up and crucify imagi-
 nary exhibitions of it.

BUYING EMBASSIES.

The suggestion that this country
 buy and own embassies for its repre-
 sentatives abroad, which bobs up
 regularly every year, is being cursorily
 talked about again. The plan is a
 good one, and in the full lapse of time
 Congress may yet act upon it. Govern-
 ment-owned embassies would doubtless
 be of a character which all but the
 most exacting ambassadors' wives
 would find suitable. Moreover, they
 would be fixed, stable and perman-
 ent. There is something a little
 incongruous, not to say undignified, in
 this constant bundling up of the flag
 and shifting of it from one quarter of
 a capital to another. If an embassy
 means United States soil, it would be
 better for it not to move on the first
 of the month like a dissatisfied or
 financially-pinched flat-dweller. Our
 embassies in foreign capitals have run
 all the way from palaces to rooms over
 a corner shop, and these fluctuations
 cannot be regarded as enhancing our
 national dignity.

If we ever buy permanent homes for
 our ambassadors, we should remember
 what the ambassadors are there for.
 There is no need to get palaces. If
 our envoys nowadays are little be-
 sides impressive figure-heads they do
 not need a \$300,000 a year establish-
 ment even for that. Various persons
 have impressed themselves very con-
 siderably on their fellow-men on very
 little indeed a year. Plain living and
 high thinking still command a certain
 amount of respect in this degenerate
 world. Our ambassadors' dwellings,
 like our ambassadors, should fairly
 represent American character and
 ideals.

We do not ask that ostentatious
 and professional simplicity which Sen-
 ator Bailey exploited in the days be-
 fore he was prosperous and owned a
 swallow-tail, and which the Senator
 from Arkansas still exploits. But there
 is a middle ground between this small
 posy and the no bigger ostentation of
 tremendous wealth. As a people, we
 do not need to emphasize the fact that
 we have lots of money. We are fa-
 mous for it already. What we need,
 rather, is to counteract, through our
 representatives, any impression that
 the dollar is our natural earmark and
 about all there is to us anyway. Our
 greatest ambassadors have not neces-
 sarily had the manners of dancing
 masters, nor have they resided in
 marble halls like those of ex-Senator
 Clark, of Montana and Fifth Avenue.

ALEXANDRIA COUNTY AND THE DIS-TRICT.

The quadrennial agitation looking to
 the reattachment of Alexandria county
 to the District of Columbia is on again,
 this time looking something like busi-
 ness. Various Presidents and other
 officials have cast sheep's eyes toward
 the land over the Potomac, but they
 have usually let it go at that. Now
 definite action is talked of for the fall.

Intimations of this movement some
 time ago led to the expectation that
 Virginia would be asked voluntarily to
 concede this territory to the govern-
 ment, as it ceded it in 1790. This,
 however, seems not to be the case. A
 formal demand for one's own property,
 rather than a civil request for some-
 body else's, will make the basis of the
 government's procedure. The argu-
 ments back of this position continue
 somewhat obscure. But it is contended,
 it seems, that the Congress was au-
 thorized by the Constitution only to
 agree upon and designate certain ter-
 ritory as the site of the Federal cap-
 ital, and that, having done this, its
 authority was finally and irrevocably
 ended. The reopening of the matter
 and the retrocession of Alexandria
 county to Virginia in 1846 were there-
 fore unconstitutional and invalid. Pre-
 sumably Congress is to pass a resolu-
 tion declaring the act of 1846 illegal,
 annulling it and restoring the status
 quo. Then the matter would go to the
 courts.

Law opinions are useless where
 trained lawyers differ. It may conceiv-
 ably be that the Constitution meant
 the fixing of the District limits to be
 complete in a single act and to deny
 Congress any discretion to reconsider
 or modify that act. If that is the
 law upon the matter, it would also, we
 assume, work the other way. Future
 conditions might easily arise which
 would make it important to alter or
 extend these boundaries. But, unless
 the Supreme Court reversed itself or
 the Constitution was amended, the
 hands of Congress would be securely
 tied. The organic law, in effect, se-
 cures any State against being deprived
 of its territory without its own con-
 sent. But the contention here is that
 this territory does not belong to any
 State, but has belonged to the District
 of Columbia all along. Now, in law
 and in fact Alexandria county has been
 considered a part of Virginia for more
 than sixty years. If the Supreme
 Court now declared that in law and in
 fact Alexandria county had been a part
 of the District all these years, all of
 Alexandria's acts as a part of Virginia
 would presumably be, invalidated.
 Hopeless confusion would follow. What
 would be done, for instance, about the
 money that Alexandria has paid into
 the treasury of Virginia through all
 this period?

Mr. Taft is authority for the state-
 ment that this matter has been before
 the Supreme Court once, on which oc-
 casion that tribunal dodged the main
 question. His reference, we believe,
 was to the case of Phillips vs. Payne,
 in 1876. We dare say the court is not
 especially anxious to tackle it now, and
 possibly the talk of action will sub-
 side this time, as it has done before.
 The territory would not be conceded to
 the District without a fight. We
 imagine that is absolutely certain. A
 request for a voluntary cession of a

few square miles, if it had the ap-
 proval of the Alexandrians themselves,
 might possibly be entertained. But the
 attempt to take over these square miles
 under some constitutional technicality
 would certainly be contested. The case
 of West Virginia has left Virginia
 rather sore as to the Federal attitude
 toward her territory, and any encroach-
 ment now would be resented.

COUNTY SHRINES.

Judge Wright, of the Twelfth Vir-
 ginia Circuit, believes in the influence
 of historic surroundings. He holds
 that the Virginia courthouses should
 be made local shrines, where the relics
 of old Virginia should be preserved.
 He has accordingly decorated the
 walls of the courthouses in his circuit
 with portraits and tablets depicting
 scenes and commemorating names fa-
 mous in the annals of the Northern
 Neck.

This is a movement which should be
 taken up in all the older counties of
 the State. It will have a two-fold ef-
 fect, doubly beneficial to the com-
 munities. Our courthouses, in the
 main, have little of the historical or
 beautiful about them. Too often the
 county seat of a historic section is a
 bare brick building, unadorned and
 unattractive, with nothing about it in
 any sense creditable to the county or
 typical of its splendid traditions. Judge
 Wright's idea, if followed by others,
 would put an end to this. It would
 make the courthouses attractive and
 would dignify them in a manner
 worthy of the local history. County
 shrines would thus spring up, rich in
 memorials of the past and suggestive
 of the dignity of old Virginia. For
 example, the old county courthouse of
 Charlotte county, used for many years
 as a stable, might become a shrine
 for memorials of Patrick Henry and
 John Randolph of Roanoke. For it
 was within its old walls that the orator
 of the Revolution first met and
 did battle with the young Randolph
 over a famous lawsuit.

A still more desirable effect of such
 a movement would be to arouse in the
 children of the community a sense of
 the historic importance of their sur-
 roundings. The complaint is often
 made that the rising generation knows
 little and cares little about the tradi-
 tions and history of the State. This is
 unfortunately true in many sections,
 and especially in those where there
 are no memorials and no reminders of
 the past glories of Virginia. If the
 county seats of Virginia are trans-
 formed into such shrines as Judge
 Wright proposes, they cannot fail
 to awaken the interest of the young,
 to stimulate their enthusiasm for Vir-
 ginia, and to arouse their pride in the
 past.

So far as we have observed, John A.
 Curtis is the first candidate for the
 Legislature to devote a special plank
 in his platform to the reform of our
 oyster laws. In singling out this ques-
 tion for especial mention, Captain Cur-
 tis shows a wise appreciation of the
 importance of the oyster industry to
 the State and the unsatisfactoriness of
 present conditions. In this connection
 it is worth mentioning here that the
 report of the abandonment of the river
 surveys, kindly undertaken by govern-
 ment experts, proved to be unfounded.
 The fishhawk steamed off for supplies
 only, and is expected to return shortly
 and continue the work through most of
 the summer. These careful examina-
 tions of the natural rocks will give
 our legislators the information they
 need to make wise laws for the res-
 toration and upbuilding of this im-
 portant industry.

"A German," says the Washington
 Herald, "claims to be able to extract
 a sufficiency of food from air." But
 the Wrights led the way. They surely
 do understand how to eat up the air.
 Speaking of hides, what's become of
 Leung Ling?

It is just as well that the Emperor
 of Austria did not meet and converse
 with the Emperor of Germany, who
 is a "mellorist" who "keeps his middle
 up, singing as he goes." So did he.

The rector of Grace Church is a
 personage of great importance in the
 councils of the Episcopal Church in
 the Diocese. Not less than the rector
 of Trinity, Mr. Huntington
 throw all the power of his words, in-
 fluence and example on the side of
 simple right. When the Diocese was
 amid the indescribable turmoil of such
 a city as New York. Those who knew
 him best can best estimate the value
 of his influence. He was a man of
 constant faith throughout a world
 of doubt and indifference. His slight
 frame, his quietness, his calmness, his
 spiritual strength. He carried his bur-
 den to the end of his life; he kept the
 faith, and he didn't advertise.—New
 York Evening Sun.

The St. Louis Star suggests that there
 should be a school for umpires. It is
 a fine idea, and we beg to nominate
 Jim Jeffries for president.

England has decided to build four
 additional super-dreadnaughts, which
 puts it up to Germany to build a little
 bunch of extra-super.

The ex-Shah is offered \$25,000 a year
 to leave Persia. It looks like a bar-
 gain to us.

Colonel Roosevelt, according to the
 statement in an exchange, is now to be
 "entertained by residents of Africa."
 Can this be a return courtesy for a
 certain White House luncheon?

There is nothing for England to do
 now but widen the channel.

Mr. Thaw is little more patient and
 submissive than Mr. Albert Patrick.

"It is a sad mistake," declares the
 Milwaukee Sentinel, "to suppose that
 Mr. Taft has no backbone." Oh, cer-
 tainly. Yet there are moments now
 and then when we wish Mr. Taft
 wouldn't wear it so far back.

Joseph G. Cannon's frank statement
 that he doesn't care for baseball can
 only mean that he doesn't desire to re-
 turn to Congress.

Not counting Chancellor Day, Mr.
 Justice Brewer seems to enjoy talking
 about as much as any man we know of.

Fame is, of course, the chief and
 principal squeeze. Yet, doubtless it
 would be a pose for M. Berlioz to deny
 that \$5,000 did help some.

President Taft will go to Norfolk in
 November to attend a waterways con-
 vention. We shall be greatly disap-
 pointed if the Norfolkers do not rise
 to the occasion with a little forty-a-
 plate affair.

Children Cry
 FOR FLETCHER'S
 CASTORIA.

Borrowed Jingles.

THE MAGIC MIRROR.
 I went one night with my high-priced
 throat to look in the magic mirror, and as I
 looked I saw the old Duke of Richmond
 and the Duke of Gloucester, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of
 Somerset, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and
 the Duke of Lancaster, and the Duke of
 York, and the Duke of Bedford, and the
 Duke of Devonshire, and the Duke of So-
 mer set, and the Duke of Northumber-
 land, and the Duke of Devon, and the
 Duke of Cornwall, and the Duke of Lan-
 caster, and the Duke of York, and the
 Duke of Bedford, and the Duke of Devon-
 shire, and the Duke of Somerset, and the
 Duke of Northumberland, and the Duke
 of Devon, and the Duke of Cornwall, and